UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE 2 SNMP RESEARCH, INC. and SNMP Case No. 3:20-cy-00451 RESEARCH INTERNATIONAL, INC., 4 Plaintiffs, 5 v. **BROADCOM INC.; BROCADE** COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS LLC; and EXTREME NETWORKS, INC. 8 Defendants. 9 MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO INITIAL COMPLAINT 10 AND [PROPOSED] ORDER 11 12 Come now the Plaintiffs SNMP Research, Inc. and SNMP Research International, Inc. 13 ("Plaintiffs") and Defendants Broadcom Inc., Brocade Communications Systems LLC, and Extreme 14 Networks, Inc. ("Defendants"), through their respective counsel, respectfully move the Court for 15 additional time for Defendants to file a response to Plaintiffs' Complaint and for Plaintiffs to oppose 16 any motion(s) filed by Defendants as responsive pleadings; 17 WHEREAS Plaintiffs filed the Complaint in this action on October 26, 2020; 18 WHEREAS Plaintiffs served this Complaint upon the Defendants on October 27, 2020; 19 WHEREAS the parties stipulated to an extension of time under Local Rule 12.1 for the 20 Defendants to respond to the initial complaint on or before December 8, 2020; 21 22 23 24 ¹ By filing this motion and proposed order, Defendants do not waive any defenses, including but not limited to jurisdictional defenses. 3:20-MQTKQBIQEXTENDFIMEJQJRFSRPSBITPIJBHTIYHGQYYBLAHATAANDFPROPQR

1	WHEREAS the Complaint asserts several causes of action against Defendants concerning		
2	numerous alleged copyrights and according to Defendants their investigation of the claims cannot be		
3	completed within time such that a response can be filed by the current deadline;		
4	WHEREAS counsel for Defendants also have conflicting commitments in other matters;		
5	WHEREAS it will be convenient for all parties and the Court to have one response date for		
6	all Defendants;		
7	WHEREAS this request for additional time is not intended to delay the proceedings and		
8	Plaintiffs have agreed to this extension subject to Court approval;		
9	WHEREAS, in light of the complexity of the case and the upcoming holidays, Defendants		
10	have agreed to provide Plaintiffs an additional two weeks to oppose any motion(s) filed by		
11	Defendants as responsive pleadings;		
12	WHEREAS, pursuant to Local Rule 7.1, a party ordinarily has 21 days to file an opposition		
13	brief to any dispositive motion;		
14	WHEREFORE, the parties request an order permitting Defendants to respond to the		
15	Complaint on or before December 22, 2020 and permitting Plaintiffs to oppose any motion(s) filed		
16	by Defendants as responsive pleadings on or before January 26, 2021.		
17	Dated: December 2, 2020 HUESTON HENNIGAN LLP		
18			
19	By: <u>/s/ Alison Plessman</u> Alison Plessman		
20	Attorneys for Defendants Broadcom Corporation		
21	Brocade Communications Systems LLC		
22			
23			
24			
25	2		
	<u>_</u>		

1	Dated: December 2, 2020 EGEI	RTON, McAFEE, ARMISTEAD & DAVIS, P.C.
2	2	
3	By:	<u>/s/ John L. Wood</u> John L. Wood
4	1	Attorneys for Plaintiffs SNMP Research, Inc.
5		SNMP Research International, Inc.
6	Dated: December 2 2020 IREL	L & MANELLA LLP
7		
8	By:	/s/ A. Matthew Ashley A. Matthew Ashley
		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
9		SNMP Research, Inc. SNMP Research International, Inc.
10		
11		DDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER
12		OM LLP
13		
14		<u>/s/ Jordan A. Feirman</u> Jordan A. Feirman
15		Attorneys for Defendant Extreme Networks, Inc.
16		
17	7	
18	3	
19		
20		
21	1	
22		
23	3	
24	4	
25	5	
Caxe	3	
- <u>-20</u>	5858595	

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
2	Pursuant to the parties' motion, IT IS SO ORDERED. Defendants should answer or		
3	otherwise respond to Plaintiffs' Complaint on or before December 22, 2020. Plaintiffs		
4	should oppose or otherwise respond to any motion(s) filed by Defendants as responsive		
5	pleadings on or before January 26, 2021.		
6			
7	Dated:		
8	JUDGE J. RONNIE GREER UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	4		